

U.S. Department Of Justice
Civil Rights Division
Criminal Section

Robert G.. Kearns, Jr.
RR#3 Box 254A1
Hollidaysburg, Pa 16648

June 22, 2003

To whom it may concern:

My name is Robert G. Kearns, Jr. and I have written at least twice to the United States Department Of Justice about judicial corruption. In both instances my complaint requested an investigation against Judge Hiram A. Carpenter of the Common Pleas Court of Blair County, Pennsylvania. And in return I had once received a response from the Justice Department that the heinous violation of me and my daughter's civil rights was a state matter. I am sad to say that because of the Justice Departments lack of action or concern into this matter that I and my entire family are disheartened, remain judicially raped, and psychologically numb. I remain steadfast that the judicial corruption in this case is in the Justice Departments jurisdiction to investigate. This is especially true when state law enforcement is derelict and refuses to enforce the laws of Pennsylvania or protect me and my daughter's constitutional rights as citizens of the United States.

The violations in the matter of Frederick vs. Kearns (Docket # 96 GN 2139) were conspired and committed by a rogue judge, various professionals of the psychiatric field, and state and county agencies. The criminal acts committed while acting under the color of law include but are not limited to as follows:

(1) Denial of due process of law. (2) Subornation of perjury. (3) Tampering with and fabricating physical evidence. (4) Altering official hearing transcripts (5) Obstruction of justice. (6) Failure to provide equal protection of the laws. (7) Endangering the welfare of a minor child.

Judge Carpenter's July 14, 2000 order further denied me and my daughter our constitutional right to the pursuit of happiness which has resulted in my daughter's persistent self mutilation and being forced to take destructive psychotropic drugs to ease her emotional hurt and broken heart for three more years.

Over the past few years me and other family members have sent the Justice Department numerous documentation evidencing or more clearly outlining the judicial abuses that had taken place during this custody procedure. I trust that the information is still on file and available to you for review. If not I can provide you with the documentation upon request.

On July 29, 2002 this complainant had a custody modification hearing before specially presiding Judge William Henry. During the course of that hearing the psychiatrist who provides my daughter psychotropic drugs was the only witness that testified for the plaintiff mother. At this hearing I, my sister, and brother testified. What was reminiscent of multiple expert witnesses testifying before Judge Hiram Carpenter during a series of custody hearings starting in April 2000, Dr. Bennett's expert testimony collapsed on the witness stand. What was ironic about the result of this hearing was that

my attorney Anthony Zaroni predicted and pre-warned me in a letter (attached hereto) dated April 26, 2002 that regardless of how inconsistent, incredible, or obscure the testimony may be from the plaintiff's expert witnesses, the new judge *would not* consider this a viable factor to alter Judge Hiram Carpenter's custody order of July 14, 2000. Also on April 29, 2002 I and my brother met with Attorney Zaroni at which time he told us verbally that despite the fact that I could beat the plaintiff mother's expert witnesses at the July 29, 2002 hearing the judge would overlook any inconsistent testimony regardless of how controversial or damaging it might be to the plaintiff's case and "will" alternatively order me to seek a professional counselor. I contend that nobody, not even Miss Cleo, could have predicted this scenario unless they had been acting in complicity with or forewarned that the judge's determination was preconceived and concocted far in advance of the evidentiary hearing.

On August 19, 2002 Judge William Henry interviewed my daughter privately in his courtroom in total disregard of the guidelines set forth in Pennsylvania Code-Rule 1915.11. Appointment of Attorney for Child. Interrogation of Child. Attendance of Child at Hearing or Conference subsection (a) and (b).

On August 19, 2002 Judge Henry issued an order that identically paralleled Attorney Zaroni's scripted scenario of April 29, 2002. And while making this order Judge Henry totally ignored the psychiatric abuse of my daughter, medical fraud, medical abuse, and blatant perjury, that Dr. Bennett exposed and proved via his own presented documentation and testimony. Otherwise speaking Judge Henry allowed a self incriminating felon to walk free while imposing an abusively restrictive order, punitive in nature, against me and my daughter.

In my attempt to commence and comply with Judge William Henry's order to seek counseling the plaintiff mother and her attorney Paula Aigner deliberately rejected and defied Judge William Henry's August 19, 2002 court order. Accordingly, a petition was filed by Attorney Zaroni and a hearing was set for November 18, 2002 to address and define the actual intention of Judge Henry's order. After the hearing Attorney Zaroni talked with me, my brother and sister. Completely contradictory to judge Henry's order, Attorney Zaroni told us that Judge Henry was extremely impressed with my presentation on July 29, 2002. And Attorney Zaroni told us that Judge Henry has expressed concerns that my daughter was severely brainwashed. Yet, Judge Henry has allowed my daughter to remain in an isolated, dangerous and abusive state of existence for an additional year.

Judge William Henry's August 19, 2002 order in effect was a carry over of Judge Hiram Carpenter's order of July 14, 2000 while implementing the same unlawful tactics to craft an order abusive in nature that continually denies this complainant and his daughter their constitutional rights.


The first family counselor I hired told me in December 2002, that my daughter needs to see justice in this matter before she could even begin to heal emotionally.

In Summary:

The court, Honorable William L. Henry, issued an order dated August 19, 2002, that amends Judge Hiram A. Carpenter's court order of July 14, 2000 and the Orders of the Court violated the constitutional rights of me and my minor child daughter, contrary to the laws of Pennsylvania and the United States of America. The Orders of the Court deprived me and my daughter of our fundamental liberty interest as protected and secured by the U.S. Constitution and secured under settled law. The Orders of the Court were conceived in a prejudicial forum in violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct, and in direct disregard of the duties of the presiding judges. The Orders of Court failed to specify the facts or the law under which the orders were crafted, and fail to support its conclusions with facts in the record. The Orders of Court constitutes an arbitrary and capricious MIS-application of law which evidences prejudice and bias of the court. And the Orders of Court deprives this complainant and his minor daughter equal protection of law, and substantive due process, thereby denying this complainant and his daughter fundamental fairness and substantial justice.

Wherefore, I am again asking that the United States Department Of Justice initiate a criminal investigation into the criminal acts carried out by Judge Hiram Carpenter and his involved accomplices.

Sincerely,


Robert G. Kearns, Jr.