COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PRIVATE BLAIR COUNTY OF: CRIMINAL COMPLAINT Magisterial District Number: 24-3-03 District Justice Name: Hon. KLIZABETH A. DOYLE, ESQ. COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACCIOSS: 311 UNION STREET HOLLIDAYSBURG, PA VS. 16648 **DEFENDANT:** NAME and ADDRESS Telephone: (814 693-3210 Anthony J. Zanoni, Esquire Docket No.: 118 South Juliana Street Date Filed: Bedford, PA 15522 OTN. (Fill in defendant's name and address) (Above to be completed by court personnel) Notice: Under PA Rules of Criminal Procedure, your complaint may require approval by the District Attorney before it can be accepted by the magisterial district court. If the District Attorney disapproves your complaint, you may petition the court of common pleas for review of the District Attorney's decision. Fill in as much information as you have. Defendant's Rece/Ethnicity Defendant's Sex Defendant's D.O.B. Defendant's Social Security Number Defendant's SID (State Identification Number) Asian **⊠** White ☐ Female Hispanic Native American Unknown Male Defendant's A.K.A. (also known as) Defendant's Vehicle Information Defendant's Driver's License Number Registration Sticker[MMYY] State Robert G. Kearns, Jr. (Name of Complainant - Please Print or Type) do hereby state: (check the appropriate box) 1. A laccuse the above named defendant who lives at the address set forth above I accuse the defendant whose name is unknown to me but who is described as I accuse the defendant whose name and popular designation or nickname is unknown to me and whom I have therefore designated as John Doe with violating the penal laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania at the Blair County Courthouse situated at Hollidaysburg, Pennsylvania in Blair County County on or about May 10, 2001 Participants were: (if there were participants, place their names here, repeating the name of above defendant)

The acts committed by the accused were:
 (Set forth a summary of the facts sufficient to advise the defendant of the nature of the offense charged. A citation to the statute allegedly violated, without more, is not sufficient. In a summary case, you must cite the specific section and subsection of the statute or ordinance allegedly violated.)

As a result of a July 14,2000 custody order criminally constructed by Judge Hiram Carpenter this complainant hired Attorney Anthony Zanoni on March 30, 2001 to file a legal brief in the Superior Court at the cost of \$2700. On April 19,2001, Attorney Zanoni bargained with and advised this complainant that in exchange for dropping the active appeal before the Superior Court he would avoid further litigation and be expeditiously and voluntarily afforded shared physical and legal custody of his daughter by the guardian ad litem and the blessing

1000 111 10M

Beverly Mears, Esquire

(Continu	uation of No. 2)			PRIVATE
Defe	ndant's Name:			CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
Doc	ket Number:			
	of the Blair County	Court.		
	the renewed shared of Instead this complain of supervised visits visit reports general was precluded from gareIntroduced to the protracted attempt to complain and to conspiratorial and	custody of his day nant was forced to with his daughted ted over a period aining custody of family court system to gain custody of cually gained no Anthony Zanoni. deceptive acts has tire \$2700 retains	aghter did no acquiesce er. Despite of three modes his daughted by Attored his daught legal ground and ultimated er for the S	under the professional tely Attorney Zanoni's this complainant of his uperior Court appeal,
		,		
	Anthony Zanoni has a Pennsylvania statute [subtitle 3922. The Chapter 51. Obstruct	acted out a course es 18 Pa C.S.A. Cl eft by Deception. cting Governmenta achoate Crimes [su	e of conduct napter 39. Subsection l Operations ubtitle 903.	complainant, Attorney in violation of Theft and Related Offenses (a)] 18 Pa C.S.A. [subtitle 5101.] 18 Pa Criminal Conspiracy
	all of which were against the per of Assembly, or in violation of _		nonwealth of Penns and	sylvania and contrary to the Act
	of the see above para	graph (Section)		(Subsection)
3.	I ask that process be issued and	PA Sia I that the defendant be requ	•	charges I have made.
4.	I verify that the facts set forth in and belief. This verification is ma §4904) relating to unsworn falsit	ade subject to the penalties		
	August 8	<u> 2003 - 3</u>	1 fait Sign	nature of Complainant)
	1 /		1 - to 00	local do not constitute

District Attorney's Office

AND NOW, on this date properly completed and verified.

(Magisterial District)

(Signature of Attorney for Commonwealth)

(Issuing Authority)

I certify that the complaint has been

SEAL

Disapproved because:

Approved

(Name of Attorney for Commonwealth - Please Print or Type)

NOTICE OF PERSONS SIGNING CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal procedure provide that when a person who is not a <u>Police Officer</u> wishes to sign a complaint charging another with a criminal offense, other than a summary offense, the complaint must first be submitted to the District Attorney's Office for approval or disapproval.

In order to assist the District Attorney's Office in reviewing your complaint, you must <u>print or type</u> on this form a complete accounting of the events leading up to and including the criminal act you wish to complain about. This affidavit must indicate names, addresses and your own opinion as to the motives of the person complained about as well as an indication of your prior contacts, if any, with the person. <u>Only</u> complaints supported by a complete and detailed affidavit of the facts will be considered for approval. Use the back of this form to write the facts on.

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE COMPLAINTANT

complaint s	Detendant s		
Name: Robert Gene Kearns, Jr. Address: RR#3 Box 254 A1	Name: Attorney Anthony Zanoni Address: 118 South Juliana Street		
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648 (814) 696-3022 August 8, 2003	Bedford, PA 15522 Phone: (814) 623-1772 Time:		

Defendant's

Location of Incident: Allegheny Street, Hollidaysburg
COMPLAINTANT'S VERSION OF THE FACTS MUST GO ON THE REVERSE

SIDE OF THIS FORM.

I further certify that these criminal proceedings are filed from proper motives and are not being brought for the purpose of collecting a civil obligation. On charges for Bad Checks (4105) and Theft of Leased Property (3932) proper legal notice has been given as required by said sections. I ask that a warrant of arrest or a summons be issued and that the accused be required to answer the charges I have made.

I verify that the facts set forth in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge or information and belief. This verification is made subject to the penalties of Section 4904 of the Pa Crimes Code (18 PA. C. S. 4904) relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Chagait f., ,2013

Date

Complaintant

Complaint Complai

Offense or Offenses:

Complaintant's

Theft by Deception, Criminal Conspiracy, Obstruction of Justice

This private criminal complaint originates from the matter of Lou Ann Frederick -vs-Robert Kearns, Jr. [Docket No. 96 GN 2139] in the Common Pleas Court of Blair County, Pennsylvania.

In reaction to a custody order issued by Judge Hiram Carpenter on July 14, 2000 this defendant in the above captioned matter filed a Notice of Appeal in the Superior Court of Pennsylvania on August 9, 2000.

In the pursuit of quality legal and technical advice to aid this complainant's filing of an effective brief before the Pennsylvania Superior Court this defendant (hereafter referred to as the complainant) made an appointment with Attorney Anthony Zanoni for consultation. On March 30, 2001 I met with Attorney Zanoni to discuss his appeal and legal brief due on April 23, 2001. Ultimately the discussion led into other matters regarding the complainant's custody case before Judge Hiram Carpenter. During that time I fully apprised Attorney Zanoni of the details of my custody case including that the hearing transcripts had been extensively altered by Judge Hiram Carpenter and his court reporters to cover-up for and/or justify the unethical conduct and vicious abuse of this complainant's child by Blair County Children and Youth Services and various practitioners of the psychiatric profession.

Concluding the consultation meeting Attorney Zanoni asked this complainant if I really wanted to file the brief myself. Since the effectiveness of the brief would have decided the fate of my daughter's life I exhibited reluctance about filing the brief pro se. I told Attorney Zanoni that I really didn't feel comfortable about it and would prefer that someone with broader legal knowledge and experience do the job. Attorney Zanoni asked if the legal costs were part of my apprehensions? I told him that was part of it since I was told by other people that it would take approximately \$5,000.00 to have an attorney pursue the appeal. Another reason was I didn't think I could find an attorney who would be willing to jump into this case due to the wide spread publicity and criminal conduct of the court. Attorney Zanoni told me that he could do the job for \$2,700.00. Feeling a sense of relief and somewhat uplifted by his gallant offer, I told Attorney Zanoni that if is willing to file the brief for \$2,700.00 he has got the job.

On Saturday, March 31, this complainant and his brother delivered to Attorney Zanoni a cash payment in the amount of \$2,480.00 with the understanding that \$200.00 balance was owed for filing the brief in Superior Court. Also, as requested we had delivered copies of the pleadings of my custody case and some of the transcripts. During the following two weeks this complainant or a member of his family periodically delivered to Attorney Zanoni copies of the transcripts from the hearings. As Attorney Zanoni suggested I marked each transcript where the alterations had taken place and had given him pages of accompanying transcript corrections.

On April 19, 2001 Attorney Zanoni asked to meet with this complainant concerning the appeal in Superior Court. During the meeting Attorney Zanoni told me that he has not completed the brief which was due in just three days. Instead Attorney Zanoni asked if I would consider dropping the appeal in exchange for the reinstatement of the original shared custody scheme as before Judge Hiram Carpenter's order. Attorney Zanoni's

just have a judge rule on the contempt petition. Attorney Zanoni's secretary informed me that Attorney Zanoni preferred and requested that a hearing be scheduled to fully address the contempt petition.

On February 28, 2003 this complainant received a hearing date of May 14, 2003 to address the petition for the plaintiff's contempt of a court order. Allegedly this date was the earliest that Judge William Henry would be available to hear the case.

On March 19, 2003 this complainant received his very first itemized billing statement from Attorney Zanoni with the starting entry date of November 18, 2002. In essence Attorney Zanoni completely exhausted the remaining \$275.00 of the original \$2,480.00 retainer, plus an additional \$100.00 sent to Attorney Zanoni on January 30, 2003, and included a billing statement for an additional \$266.25 to be paid in full immediately.

On March 28, 2003 this complainant paid Attorney Zanoni the bill in full and sent him an accompanying letter requesting that his services would not be necessary at the May 14, 2003 contempt hearing.

On May 14, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. Attorney Zanoni's office left a telephone message with this complainant's sister reminding her to contact me that a contempt hearing was scheduled for May 15, 2003 at 11:30 a.m. At 10:25 a.m. this complainant received a

telephone call from Attorney Zanoni's secretary asking me if he I was ready for the contempt hearing tomorrow May 15, 2003 at 11:30 a.m.. I told her yes, but the hearing is today May 14, 2003, at 11:30 a.m. not the 15th. Seeming surprised the secretary told me that she will check her schedule book for the time and date. When she returned she confirmed that the actual date was May 14, 2003. She further told me that Attorney Zanoni told her to call me to make sure that I was aware of my hearing scheduled for May 15, 2003. Adding to the confusion the secretary then informed me that Attorney Zanoni had just received the plaintiff's answer to the contempt petition yesterday (May 13, 2003). She warned me that I should be prepared because the plaintiff was denying everything across the board.

During the course of the May 14, 2003 hearing this complainant requested a continuance on the grounds that he was just notified at 10:30 a.m this morning that an answer had been filed against the contempt petition whereby not giving me adequate time to prepare a defense. Inquisitively, "Judge Reilly" asked the plaintiff's attorney when the answer was actually filed. Paula Aigner responded that she had filed and sent Attorney Zanoni the answer to the contempt petition on May 5, 2003 and she could not offer any explanation why Attorney Zanoni had not't received a copy of the answer before May 13, 2003.

On May 14, 2003 this complainant contacted counselor Dr. Brady to advise him that he may resume the counseling with this complainant and his daughter. Several days later Dr. Brady returned the call and advised me that he no longer desired to attempt the counseling with me or my daughter.

Pursuant to 234 Pa. Code Rule 504. Contents of Complaint. (Does not require a motive be offered for defendant's criminal conduct).