ROBERT G. KEARNS, JR., Petitioner HON, HIRAM A. CARPENTER III PRESIDING JUDGE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF PRO SE

**PRO SE** COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT BEVERLY J. MEARS, ESQUIRE

Respondent

VS.

GUARDIAN AD LITEM

## **ORDER OF COURT**

This matter comes before the Court on Petition to Correct Transcripts filed by Robert G.

Kearns, Jr.. We find the Petition lacking in merit for several reasons. First, the Petitioner has

not raised a single specific objection to the transcript which permits us to review the transcript

for error. Instead, he seeks a review of the entire transcript. This is clearly not what Rule

LOU ANN FREDERICK.

1922(b) contemplates in empowering a Court to look at a particular allegation of error in a

transcript. It cannot be (nor should it be) the requirement that based on a Petition of the type presently before the Court we would be charged with reviewing five (5) full days of Courtroom

Testimony to determine if, in fact, any error exists. That is particularly true in this case. In our

July 14, 2000, Order, we cited directly to the transcript not at all. As such our Opinion is not dependent on any particular segment of the transcript.

Second, neither the letter nor the spirit of Rule 1922 authorize either (1) a totally open

ended review of a transcript by a litigant let alone any outside individual chosen by him

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF

NO. 96 GN 2139

BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

(charging us, of course, with supervision). Accordingly, the Rule is denied. The docket and transcripts to be forwarded consistent with the appeal to the Superior Court.

BY THE COURT,



SA

FILED January 17 2000