

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

Date: 10/01/01
Time: 23:46:00
Page: 1

To: Attorney Anthony Zanoni
Company: Law Office
Fax #: 696-8235

From: Robert Kearns
Title: Owner
Company: Car shop
Address: RR#3 Box 254A1
Hollidaysburg, PA 16648
USA
Fax #: 696-3022
Voice #: 696-3022

Message:

Dear Attorney Zanoni:

I am a little upset that I am now on my 10th, week of "supervised visitation" with my daughter, that has already cost me over \$200.00. If you remember correctly there was to be two visits of this nature that was agreed upon by you, Mears and myself. Once I completed that commitment Mears was supposed to file a petition for custody modification. Once done you said a judge was simply going to sign off. Had I known there was to be extended visits I would have never started this process in the first place. You know as well as I do this is a very abusive situation that puts Mrs. Frederick in the advantage point. For example, I am restricted to all parental contact with Stephanie such as no gifts, no money, senseless unconcerned prattle, and time. Her mother on the other hand is free to buy her gifts, poison her mind, place her in new horse programs, buy her pets, toys and indulge her with treats and dining out. This is exactly what is happening since the supervised visits started!

Additionally, if you will recall I dropped the appeal before Superior Court on your advice that I was to have by early spring or summer 2001, some form of custody arrangement with Stephanie being equal to any ruling the Superior Court may have rendered. Supposedly, this was to be done as an alternative to waiting until fall for a ruling from Superior Court. Hate to tell you this, but it's fall and I don't have custody or an appeal in Superior Court.

Again, on September 6th, I asked you about going to Superior Court since they extended the brief deadline. This time you offered a new version why we shouldn't file the brief. That being they would cover up Carpenter's crimes and would not direct the audio tapes be disclosed for review to correct the record. Those tapes would have proved Carpenter is nothing but a corrupt criminal in a black robe.

You also displayed some uncertainty about your ability or for that matter anyone's ability to have hearing audio tapes disclosed for review. Yet, Kay Stephens of the Altoona Mirror, Attorney Speice and Attorney Randall Miller have expressed audio tapes can be and are routinely disclosed when reasonable doubt indicates the

tapes can be and are routinely accessed when reasonable doubt indicated the record is in error. Furthermore, an article appeared in the Altoona Mirror on September 13, 2001, where Jolene Kopriva stated that the audio tapes are commonly pulled when questions arise.

Now I am a whole lot concerned and a little confused as to what is taking place here. Wouldn't you be? Could it be possible that there is an ongoing investigation in this matter and you are buying time for people. If so, that might be your obligation, but it's not mine. They are playing a sick, cruel game with my child's life who never, ever deserved this kind of abusive treatment by any system.

I don't want to come across as picking on you, but I need some straight answers when this is going to end. Thanks.

Sincerely,
Robert G. Kearns, Jr.